

Illumination 101

Tuesday, July 23, 13



Pixar Animation Studios

- Ryusuke Villemin - MU Lighting System Overview - Direct Lighting Solution - Christophe Hery - Luminaire examples Beckmann BRDF

LECTURE OUTLINE









- REYES with prman - simple point lights, no energy, no falloff - non physical, non normalized BRDFs - deep shadow maps - it was fine because there was no secondary effect

Fuesday, July 23, 13

no concept of energy, just color and intensity light 100, albedo 1 or light 1, albedo 100, same thing!

BEFORE PHYSICALLY BASED LIGHTING



- Solving the rendering equation

$$L(x,\omega_o) = \int_{\Omega} f(x,\omega_i,\omega_o) L(x,$$

- L(x, wo): outgoing radiance - L(x, wi): incoming radiance cl $f(x, \omega i, \omega o)$: BRDF

Tuesday, July 23, 13

recursion means conditions on L and f not to diverge to infinity

PHYSICALLY BASED LIGHTING

$\omega_i)cos(\theta)d\omega$





- 2 parts working in tandem:

- physically correct lights emitting energy in the scene

the scene

Fuesday, July 23, 1

we can't and shouldn't decouple those 2 keep the same results between a rect area light and a glowing wall with same intensity

PHYSICALLY BASED LIGHTING

- physically correct BRDFs bouncing energy in



- Break down the rendering equation, each part will be solved by different coshaders called integrators

 $L(x,\omega_o) = \int_{O} f(x,\omega_i,\omega_o) L_{direct}(x,\omega_i) \cos(\theta) d\omega$

Tuesday, July 23, 13

direct <-> indirect to get rid of the "recursion" diffuse spec because we use different method of integration taking advantage of the renderer

PHYSICALLY BASED LIGHTING

+ $\int f_{diffuse}(x,\omega_i,\omega_o)L_{indirect}(x,\omega_i)cos(\theta)d\omega$

+ $\int f_{specular}(x,\omega_i,\omega_o) L_{indirect}(x,\omega_i) cos(\theta) d\omega$







Tuesday, July 23, 13

using heckbert's notation

PHYSICALLY BASED LIGHTING



- directLighting integrator solves L{D,S}E path

PHYSICALLY BASED LIGHTING





- indirectLighting integrator solves LDD*E path

PHYSICALLY BASED LIGHTING





- reflection integrator solves LS{S*,D*}E path



- indirectDiffuse integrator uses - irradiance caching - radiosity cache photon cache - reflection integrator uses - caustic cache

Fuesday, July 23, 13

no caustic path radiosity cache is prman specific

PHYSICALLY BASED LIGHTING

radiosity cache (optional, in that case we give up secondary specular effects)





Tuesday, July 23, 13



Multiple importance sampling Filtered importance sampling

- Importance resampling to reduce number of shadow rays

- Control variates

Tuesday, July 23, 13

brute force is good, always work but we want to speedup the process principal piece -> MIS

EFFICIENCY







Tuesday, July 23, 13

Call sample() for each BRDF coshaders Create a pruned BSDFSampleStruct using the arealight BVH

- Pass the original and the pruned BSDFSampleStruct

Tuesday, July 23, 13

BEFORE THE LIGHT LOOP: BRDF INTEGRATION



The original struct contains all directions The pruned struct only contains samples hitting a light

Tuesday, July 23, 13

BEFORE THE LIGHT LOOP: BRDF INTEGRATION





BEFORE THE LIGHT LOOP: BRDF INTEGRATION

void integrateBRDF(output BSDFSampleStruct bs, output BSDFSampleStruct bsbvh, output int numSpecLobes, output int numSpecSamples)







Tuesday, July 23, 13 brdf samples around the reflection direction

- Call sample() on the light coshader

- Call valueAndPDF() on each BRDF coshader

- Call emissionAndPDF() on the light coshader

- ComputeMIS()

ComputeShadows()

LIGHT LOOP







li->emissionandPDF(bs, lightValues);

li->sample(ls); bsdf->valueandPDF(facingRatio, w_in, w_outs, diffValues);

computeMIS(ls, diffValues, specValues, bs, lightValues, Cdiff, Cspec, CspecBRDF);

computeShadows(Cdiff, Cspec, diffPerLight, specPerLight); computeBRDFShadows(CspecBRDF, specPerLight);

LIGHT LOOP

output color specPerLight)







light samples on the light

Sample from lobes using BRDF coshaders Sample from lights using light coshaders Combine samples using Multiple Importance Sampling

DIRECT LIGHTING INTEGRATOR



color integrate()

integrateBRDF(bs, bsbvh, numSpecLobes, numSpecSamples);

for (1=0; 1<lightCount; 1+=1) {</pre>

resultDiff += diff; resultSpec += spec; result += diff+spec;

return result;

DIRECT LIGHTING INTEGRATOR

integrateLight(lights[l], bs, numSpecLobes, numSpecSamples, diff, spec);







1. 19 15

LIGHT LOOP



- BRDF sampling only

good sampling everywhere except in the highlights where BRDF sampled directions miss bright spots

- fuzzy shadows

BRDF INTEGRATION





- Light Sampling Only

- good sampling in the highlights, well defined shadows

noise in low brightness region where the sample directions don't match the BRDF peak

Tuesday, July 23, 13

LIGHT INTEGRATION







- Best of both worlds!

Tuesday, July 23, 13

Contraction of the

MULTIPLE IMPORTANCE SAMPLING





- Happens after MIS

roulette)

can perform a resampling step to reduce the number of shadow rays

Fuesday, July 23, 13

SHADOWING

can optimize shadow computation using the final weights of each sample (cutoff, russian





SHADOWING

diffPerLight += avgVis * (diffConv -diffPerLightNoshad);



12.11



Tuesday, July 23, 13

SHADOWING







- Can use multiple techniques as long as they don't overlap and create double shadowing

- shadowmaps for hair

- SH for large terrain vegetation

Tuesday, July 23, 13

SHADOWING





SHADOWING





Tuesday, July 23, 13

RESAMPLING MULTIPLE IMPORTANCE SAMPLING





- Use of average visibility to further reduce variance

to be computed before rendering

- the light must be able to provide such answer

Fuesday, July 23, 1

Control variates is a classic Monte Carlo technique. Here we rely on the lights providing an analytical integral (usually of their diffuse contribution).

CONTROL VARIATES

- the lobe must be completely view independent



- Sphere: sub-hemispherical light source integration

- Rect, Disk: polygonal light source analytical integration

- Dome: image space convolution

Tuesday, July 23, 13

Details and references are in the course notes.

CONTROL VARIATES







CONTROL VARIATES

Pre-computed convolution for a dome light texture







Tuesday, July 23, 13

CONTROL VARIATES







- try to be energy conserving

- reflections are handled through the BRDFs

Tuesday, July 23, 13

PHYSICALLY PLAUSIBLE MATERIALS



- no distinction between "planar reflections" and "planar area lights"

 no distinction between "spherical environments" and "dome area lights"

not only true for specular:
 diffuse and bounce are also interchangeable

NEW MATERIALS... CONSEQUENCES





Tuesday, July 23, 13

My friend Arkell Rasiah and I shot a series of IBLs, which we initially used to calibrate materials.

LOOK DEVELOPMENT: IBL1





LOOK DEVELOPMENT: IBL2





LOOK DEVELOPMENT: IBL1





Tuesday, July 23, 13

LOOK DEVELOPMENT: IBL2

. 4.

- various shapes: rect, disk, sphere - infinite dome light (IBL)

- all can be textured, provide diffuse and specular and produce soft shadows

- only one point light: sun

Tuesday, July 23, 13

PHYSICAL LIGHTS

Tuesday, July 23, 13

EXAMPLE: RECT AREA LIGHT

- must be energy conserving (normalized) - must "substitute" to our trusted old Cook-Torrance

- should be anisotropy aware (brushed metals) - can be efficiently computed (sampling)

BRDF SPECIFICATION

based on Beckmann distribution:

Tuesday, July 23, 13

"OUR" SOLUTION: D-BRDF

 $e^{\frac{(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{m})^2-1}{\alpha^2(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{m})^2}}$ $D_b(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{e^{-\tan^2(\theta_m)/\alpha^2}}{\pi \alpha^2 \cos^4(\theta_m)} = \frac{e^{\frac{(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{m})^2 - 1}{\alpha^2(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m})^2}}}{\pi \alpha^2 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{m})^4}$

Tuesday, July 23, 13

We imposed a strict energy conservation to the model and worked backwards to derive it. The details are in the course notes. We importance sample the main exponential term in the Beckmann distribution, similarly to what Walter proposed, and thus the pdf is the following.

"OUR" SOLUTION: D-BRDF

$f_{\mu}(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{F(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{h}) D_{b}(\mathbf{h}) (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{h})}{4 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{l}) (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{h})}$

split roughness α into 2 distinct coefficients along 2 surface directions

e

 $D_b(\mathbf{h})$:

Tuesday, July 23, 13

Anisotropy is a very simple extension.

ANISOTROPY

 $\frac{\left(\frac{\mathbf{u_1} \cdot \mathbf{h}}{\alpha_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{u_2} \cdot \mathbf{h}}{\alpha_2}\right)^2}{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{h})^2}$

 $\pi \alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{h})^4$

Tuesday, July 23, 13

- Eric Veach's paper: http:// graphics.stanford.edu/papers/combine

dBRDF.pdf

- Siggraph2012 Monte-Carlo Course: https:// sites.google.com/site/qmcrendering/

Fuesday, July 23, 13

REFERENCES

- D-BRDF: http://www.cs.utah.edu/~premoze/dbrdf/

Tuesday, July 23, 13

Tuesday, July 23, 13

Like in the story, many people gave us a helping hand in this work.

So thank you

.. to the folks in the GI team (Bill Reeves, Jean-Claude Kalache, Davide Pesare, Jacob Kunzel, Farhez Rayani and Guido Quaroni), the lighters and shading artists on Monsters University and The Blue Umbrella.

